Case 1:02-cv-05476-AWI-DLB Document 54 Filed 08/28/06 Page 1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 GREGORY RHODES, 1:02-cv-05476-AWI-DLB-P 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS** (Doc. 53) 13 vs. ORDER DENYING IN PART AND 14 ALAMEIDA, et al., GRANTING IN PART MOTION TO DISMISS (Doc. 40) 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19

Plaintiff, Gregory Rhoades ("plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

On June 14, 2006, the Magistrate Judge filed Findings and Recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within thirty (30) days. To date, the parties have not filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations.

28 //

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case 1:02-cv-05476-AWI-DLB Document 54 Filed 08/28/06 Page 2 of 2

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
\$ 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 73-305, this Court has conducted a
de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to
be supported by the record and by proper analysis.
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed June 14, 2006,
are ADOPTED IN FULL; and,
2. Defendants' motion to dismiss, filed December 19, 2005,
is DENIED IN PART and GRANTED IN PART as follows:
a. Defendant Alameida's motion to challenge service
of process is DENIED;
b. Defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's First
Amendment claim for failure to exhaust is DENIED;
c. Defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's Due
Process and Equal Protection claims is DENIED;
d. Defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's Eighth
Amendment claim is GRANTED; and,
e. Defendant Brown and Vogel's motion to dismiss them
from this action is DENIED.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated:August 28, 2006/s/ Anthony W. Ishii0m8i78UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE